

Hierarchy of Chaotic Maps with an Invariant Measure

M. A. Jafarizadeh^{a,b,c*}, S.Behnia^{d,e}, S.Khorram^{f†} and H.Naghshara^{a‡}

^aDepartment of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Tabriz University, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

^bInstitute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Teheran 19395-1795, Iran.

^cPure and Applied Science Research Center, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

^dPlasma Physics Research Center, IAU, Teheran 14835-159, Iran.

^e Department of Physics, IAU, Urmia, Iran.

^f Center for Applied Physics Research, Tabriz University, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

February 6, 2008

*E-mail:jafarzadeh@ark.tabrizu.ac.ir

†E-mail:skhorram@ark.tabrizu.ac.ir

‡E-mail:naghshara@ark.tabrizu.ac.ir

Abstract

We give hierarchy of one-parameter family $F(a, x)$ of maps of the interval $[0, 1]$ with an invariant measure. Using the measure, we calculate Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, or equivalently Lyapunov characteristic exponent, of these maps analytically, where the results thus obtained have been approved with numerical simulation. In contrary to the usual one-parameter family of maps such as logistic and tent maps, these maps do not possess period doubling or period-n-tupling cascade bifurcation to chaos, but they have single fixed point attractor at certain parameter values, where they bifurcate directly to chaos without having period-n-tupling scenario exactly at these values of parameter whose Lyapunov characteristic exponent begins to be positive.

Keywords:Chaos, Invariant measure, Entropy, Lyapunov characteristic exponent, Ergodic dynamical systems .

PACs numbers:05.45.Ra, 05.45.Jn, 05.45.Tp

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years chaos or more properly dynamical systems have become an important area of research activity. One of the landmarks in it was introduction of the concept of Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen (SRB) measure or natural invariant measure[1, 2]. This is roughly speaking a measure that is supported on an attractor and that describe the statistics of the long time behavior of the orbits for almost every initial condition in the corresponding basin of attractor . This measure can be obtained by computing the fixed density of the so called Frobenius-Perron operator which can be viewed as a differential-integral operator, hence, exact determination of invariant measure of dynamical systems is rather a nontrivial task, such that invariant measure of few dynamical systems such as one-parameter family one-dimensional piecewise linear maps [3, 4] including Baker and tent maps or unimodal maps such as logistic map for certain values of its parameter, can be derived analytically. In most of cases only numerical algorithms, as an example Ulam's method[5, 6, 7] are used for computation of fixed densities of Frobenius-Perron operator. Here in this article we give a hierarchy of one-parameter family $\Phi^{(1,2)}(\alpha, x)$ of maps of interval $[0, 1]$ with an invariant measure. These maps are defined as ratio of polynomials of degree N , where we have derived analytically their invariant measure for an arbitrary values of the parameter α and every integer values of N . Using this measure, we have calculated analytically, Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy or equivalently positive Lyapunov characteristic exponent of these maps, where the numerical simulation of up to degree $N=10$ approve the analytic calculation. Also it is shown that these maps have another interesting property, that is, for even values of N the $\Phi^{(1)}(\alpha, x)(\Phi^{(2)}(\alpha, x))$ maps have only a fixed point attractor $x = 1(x = 0)$ provided that their parameter belongs to interval $(N, \infty)((0, \frac{1}{N}))$ while, at $\alpha \geq N$ ($\alpha \geq \frac{1}{N}$) they bifurcate to chaotic regime without having any period doubling or period-n-tupling scenario and remain chaotic for all $\alpha \in (0, N)$ ($\alpha \in (\frac{1}{N}, \infty)$) but for odd values of N , these maps have only fixed point attractor $x = 0$ for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{N}, N)$, again they bifurcate to chaotic regime at $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{N}$, and remain chaotic for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{N})$, finally

they bifurcate at $\alpha = N$ to have $x = 1$ as fixed point attractor for all $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{N}, \infty)$ (see Figures 1, 2 and 3). The paper is organized as follows: In section II we introduce hierarchy of family of one-parameter maps, In Section III we show that the proposed ansatz for the invariant measure of their maps are eigenfunction of Frobenius-Perron operator with largest eigenvalue 1, for any finite N . Then in section IV using this measure we calculate Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of these maps for an arbitrary value of parameter α and every integer values of N . In section V we compare analytic calculation with the numerical simulation. Paper ends with a brief conclusion.

2 ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF CHAOTIC MAPS

The one-parameter families of chaotic maps of the interval $[0, 1]$ with an invariant measure are defined as ratio of polynomials of degree N :

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha) &= \frac{\alpha^2 \left(1 + (-1)^N {}_2F_1\left(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, x\right)\right)}{(\alpha^2 + 1) + (\alpha^2 - 1)(-1)^N {}_2F_1\left(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, x\right)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2 (T_N(\sqrt{x}))^2}{1 + (\alpha^2 - 1)(T_N(\sqrt{x}))^2} \quad ,\end{aligned}\tag{2-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha) &= \frac{\alpha^2 \left(1 - (-1)^N {}_2F_1\left(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, (1-x)\right)\right)}{(\alpha^2 + 1) - (\alpha^2 - 1)(-1)^N {}_2F_1\left(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, (1-x)\right)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2 (U_N(\sqrt{1-x}))^2}{1 + (\alpha^2 - 1)(U_N(\sqrt{1-x}))^2} \quad ,\end{aligned}\tag{2-2}$$

where N is an integer greater than one. Also

$${}_2F_1\left(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, x\right) = (-1)^N \cos(2N \arccos \sqrt{x}) = (-1)^N T_{2N}(\sqrt{x})$$

is hypergeometric polynomials of degree N and $T_N(x)$ ($U_N(x)$) are Chebyshev polynomials of type I (type II) [8], respectively. Obviously these map the unit interval $[0, 1]$ into itself and are related to each other through the following relation :

$$\Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = g(\Phi_N^{(2)}, g(x), \frac{1}{\alpha}) = g \circ \Phi_N^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \circ g(x) \quad \text{for even } N, \tag{2-3}$$

and

$$\Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha) \quad \text{for odd } N, \quad (2-4)$$

where $g(x)$ is the invertible map $g(x) = g^{-1}(x) = 1 - x$ and the symbol \circ means composition of functions. From now on, depending on situation, we will consider one of these maps, since, we can get all required information concerning the other map via using the relations (2-3) and (2-4) between these two maps: $\Phi_N^{(1)}(\alpha, x)$ is (N-1)-model map, that is it has $(N-1)$ critical points in unit interval $[0, 1]$, (see Figure 4) since its derivative is proportional to derivative of hypergeometric polynomial ${}_2F_1(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, x)$ which is itself a hypergeometric polynomial of degree $(N-1)$, hence it has $(N-1)$ real roots in unit interval $[0, 1]$. Defining Shwarzian derivative[9] $S\Phi_N(x)$ as:

$$S\left(\Phi_N^{(1)}(x)\right) = \frac{\Phi_N^{(1)'''(x)}}{\Phi_N^{(1)'}(x)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\Phi_N^{(1)''(x)}}{\Phi_N^{(1)'}(x)} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\Phi_N^{(1)''(x)}}{\Phi_N^{(1)'}(x)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Phi_N^{(1)''(x)}}{\Phi_N^{(1)'}(x)} \right)^2,$$

with a prime denoting a single differentiation with respect to variable x , one can show that:

$$S\left(\Phi_N^{(1)}(x)\right) = S\left({}_2F_1(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, x)\right) \leq 0,$$

since $\frac{d}{dx}({}_2F_1(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, x))$ can be written as:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left({}_2F_1(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, x) \right) = A \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (x - x_i),$$

with $0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots < x_{N-1} \leq 1$ then we have:

$$S\left({}_2F_1(-N, N, \frac{1}{2}, x)\right) = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(x - x_j)^2} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(x - x_j)} \right)^2 < 0.$$

Therefore the maps $\Phi_N^{(1)}(x)$ have at most $N+1$ attracting periodic orbits[9]. As we will show at the end of this section, these maps have only a single period one stable fixed points.

Denoting n-composition of functions $\Phi^{(1,2)}(x_1, \alpha)$ by $\Phi^{(n)}$, it is straightforward to show that the derivative of $\Phi^{(n)}$ at its possible n periodic points of an n-cycle: $x_2 = \Phi^{(1,2)}(x_1, \alpha)$, $x_3 = \Phi^{(1,2)}(x_2, \alpha)$, \dots , $x_1 = \Phi^{(1,2)}(x_n, \alpha)$ is

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \Phi^{(n)} \right| = \left| \frac{d}{dx} \left(\overbrace{\Phi^{(1,2)} \circ \Phi^{(1,2)} \circ \dots \circ \Phi^{(1,2)}}^n (x, \alpha) \right) \right| = \prod_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{N}{\alpha} (\alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha^2)x_k) \right|, \quad (2-5)$$

since for $x_k \in [0, 1]$ we have:

$$\min(\alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha^2 x_k)) = \min(1, \alpha^2),$$

therefore,

$$\min \left| \frac{d}{dx} \Phi^{(n)} \right| = \left(\frac{N}{\alpha} \min(1, \alpha^2) \right)^n.$$

Hence the above expression is definitely greater than one for $\frac{1}{N} < \alpha < N$, that is, both maps do not have any kind of n -cycle or periodic orbits for $\frac{1}{N} < \alpha < N$, actually they are ergodic for this interval of parameter. From (2-13) it follows that $\left| \frac{d}{dx} \Phi^{(n)} \right|$ at n periodic points of the n -cycle belonging to interval $[0, 1]$, varies between $(N\alpha)^n$ and $\left(\frac{N}{\alpha}\right)^n$ for $\alpha < \frac{1}{N}$ and between $\left(\frac{N}{\alpha}\right)^n$ and $(N\alpha)^n$ for $\alpha > N$, respectively.

From the definition of these maps, we see that for odd N , both $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ belong to one of the n -cycles, while for even N , only $x = 1$ belongs to one of the n -cycles of $\Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$ and $x = 0$ belongs to one of the n -cycles of $\Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$.

For $\alpha < \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ ($\alpha > N$), the formula (2 – 5) implies that for those cases in which $x = 0$ ($x = 1$) belongs to one of n -cycles we will have $\left| \frac{d}{dx} \Phi^{(n)} \right| < 1$, hence the curve of $\Phi^{(n)}$ starts at $x = 0$ ($x = 1$) beneath the bisector and then crosses it at the next (previous) periodic point with slope greater than one (see Fig. 1), since the formula (2 – 5) implies that the slope of fixed points increases with the increasing (decreasing) of $|x_k|$, therefore at all periodic points of n -cycles except for $x = 0$ ($x = 1$) the slope is greater than one that is they are unstable, this is possible only if $x = 0$ ($x = 1$) is the only period one fixed point of these maps.

Hence all n -cycles except for possible period one fixed points $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ are unstable, where for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{N}]$, the fixed point $x = 0$ is stable in maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ (for odd N) and $\Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$ (for even N), while for $\alpha \in [N, \infty)$ and $\Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$, the $x = 1$ is stable fixed point in maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ (for odd N).

As an example we give below some of these maps:

$$\phi_2^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha^2(2x-1)^2}{4x(1-x) + \alpha^2(2x-1)^2} \quad ,$$

$$\phi_2^{(2)} = \frac{4\alpha^2x(1-x)}{1 + 4(\alpha^2 - 1)x(1-x)} \quad ,$$

$$\phi_3^{(1)} = \phi_3^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha^2x(4x-3)^2}{\alpha^2x(4x-3)^2 + (1-x)(4x-1)^2} \quad ,$$

$$\phi_4^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha^2(1-8x(1-x))^2}{\alpha^2(1-8x(1-x))^2 + 16x(1-x)(1-2x)^2} \quad ,$$

$$\phi_4^{(2)} = \frac{16\alpha^2x(1-x)(1-2x)^2}{(1-8x+8x^2)^2 + 16\alpha^2x(1-x)(1-2x)^2} \quad ,$$

$$\phi_5^{(1)} = \phi_5^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha^2x(16x^2-20x+5)^2}{\alpha^2x(16x^2-20x+5)^2 + (1-x)(16x^2-(2x-1))} \quad .$$

Below we also introduce their conjugate or isomorphic maps which will be very useful in derivation of their invariant measure and calculation of their KS-entropy in the next section. Conjugacy means that the invertible map $h(x) = \frac{1-x}{x}$ maps $I = [0, 1]$ into $[0, \infty)$ and transforms maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ into $\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ defined as:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = h \circ \Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha) \circ h^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \tan^2(N \arctan \sqrt{x}), \\ \tilde{\Phi}_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha) = h \circ \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha) \circ h^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \cot^2(N \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}). \end{cases} \quad (2-6)$$

3 INVARIANT MEASURE

Dynamical systems, even apparently simple dynamical systems as those described by maps of an interval can display a rich variety of different asymptotic behavior. On measure theoretical level these types of behavior are described by SRB [1] or invariant measure describing statistically stationary states of the system. The probability measure μ on $[0, 1]$ is called an SRB or invariant measure of the maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ given in (2-1) and (2-2), if it is $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ -Invariant and absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. For deterministic system such as $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ -map, the $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ -invariance means that its invariant measure $\mu(x)$ fulfills the following formal Ferbenius-Perron integral equation

$$\mu(y) = \int_0^1 \delta(y - \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)) \mu(x) dx.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\mu(y) = \sum_{x \in \Phi_N^{-1(1,2)}(y, \alpha)} \mu(x) \frac{dx}{dy}, \quad (3-1)$$

defining the action of standard Ferobenius-Perron operator for the map $\Phi_N(x)$ over a function as:

$$P_{\Phi_N^{(1,2)}} f(y) = \sum_{x \in \Phi_N^{-1(1,2)}(y, \alpha)} f(x) \frac{dx}{dy}. \quad (3-2)$$

We see that, the invariant measure $\mu(x)$ is given as the eigenstate of the Frobenios-Perron operator $P_{\Phi_N^{(1,2)}}$ corresponding to largest eigenvalue 1.

As we will prove below the measure $\mu_{\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)}(x, \beta)$ defined as:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{x(1-x)(\beta + (1-\beta)x)}} \quad (3-3)$$

with $\beta > 0$ is the invariant measure of the maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ provided that we choose the parameter α in the following form :

$$\alpha = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k+1}^N \beta^{-k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k}^N \beta^{-k}}, \quad (3-4)$$

in $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ maps for odd values of N and in $\Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$ maps for even values of N

$$\alpha = \frac{\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k}^N \beta^{-k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k+1}^N \beta^{-k}}, \quad (3-5)$$

in $\Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$ maps for even values of N , where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ means greatest integer part.

As we see the above measure is defined only for $\beta > 0$ hence, from the relations (3 – 4) and (3 – 5), it follows that the maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ have invariant measure only for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{N}, N)$ for odd values of N , $\Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$ maps have invariant measure for $\alpha \in (0, N)$ and $\Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$ have for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{N}, \infty)$ for even N , respectively. For other values of α these maps have single attractive fixed points, which is the same as the prediction of the previous section.

In order to prove that measure (3 – 3) satisfies equation (3 – 1), with α given by relations (3 – 4) and (3 – 5), it is rather convenient to consider the conjugate map:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \tan^2(N \arctan \sqrt{x}), \quad (3-6)$$

with measure $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}}$ related to the measure $\mu_{\Phi_N^{(1)}}$ to the following relation:

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}}(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} \mu_{\Phi_N^{(1)}}\left(\frac{1}{1+x}\right).$$

Denoting $\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$ on the left hand side of (3 – 6) by y and inverting it, we get :

$$x_k = \tan^2\left(\frac{1}{N} \arctan \sqrt{y\alpha^2} + \frac{k\pi}{N}\right) \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (3-7)$$

Then, taking derivative of x_k with respect to y , we obtain:

$$\left| \frac{dx_k}{dy} \right| = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sqrt{x_k(1+x_k)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}(1+\alpha^2 y)} \quad (3-8)$$

Substituting the above result in equation (3 – 1), we have:

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}}(y) \sqrt{y}(1+\alpha^2 y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sqrt{x_k}(1+x_k) \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}}(x_k) \quad (3-9)$$

considering the following anzats for the invariant measure $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}}(y)$:

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1)}}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}(1+\beta y)} \quad (3-10)$$

the above equation reduces to:

$$\frac{1 + \alpha^2 y}{1 + \beta y} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{1 + x_k}{1 + \beta x_k} \right)$$

which can be written as:

$$\frac{1 + \alpha^2 y}{1 + \beta y} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \left(\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{-1}} (\ln(\prod_{k=1}^N (\beta^{-1} + x_k))). \quad (3-11)$$

To evaluate the second term in the right hand side of above formulas we can write the equation in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha^2 y \cos^2(N \arctan \sqrt{x}) - \sin^2(N \arctan \sqrt{x}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^N}{(1+x)^N} \left(\alpha^2 y \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k}^N (-1)^N x^k \right)^2 - x \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k+1}^N (-1)^N x^k \right)^2 \right), \\ &= \frac{\text{constant}}{(1+x)^N} \prod_{k=1}^N (x - x_k) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where x_k are the roots of equation (3 – 6), and are given by formula (3 – 7). Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{-1}} \ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^N (\beta^{-1} + x_k) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{-1}} \ln \left[(1 - \beta^{-1})^N (\alpha^2 y \cos^2(N \arctan \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}}) - \sin^2(N \arctan \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}})) \right] \\ &= -\frac{N\beta}{\beta - 1} + \frac{\beta N (1 + \alpha^2 y) A(\frac{1}{\beta})}{(A(\frac{1}{\beta}))^2 \beta^2 y + (B(\frac{1}{\beta}))^2} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (3-12)$$

with polynomials $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k}^N x^k, \\ B(x) &= \prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k+1}^N x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3-13)$$

In deriving the of above formula we have used the following identities:

$$\cos(N \arctan \sqrt{x}) = \frac{A(-x)}{(1+x)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \quad ,$$

$$\sin(N \arctan \sqrt{x}) = \sqrt{x} \frac{B(-x)}{(1+x)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \quad , \quad (3-14)$$

inserting the results (3 – 12), in (3 – 6), we get:

$$\frac{1 + \alpha^2 y}{1 + \beta y} = \frac{1 + \alpha^2 y}{\left(\frac{B(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\alpha A(\frac{1}{\beta})} + \beta \left(\frac{\alpha A(\frac{1}{\beta})}{B(\frac{1}{\beta})} \right) \right)} \quad .$$

Hence to get the final result we have to choose the parameter α as:

$$\alpha = \frac{B(\frac{1}{\beta})}{A(\frac{1}{\beta})} \quad .$$

With the procedure similar to the one given above we could get the relation (3 – 11) between the parameters α and β for the second kind of maps.

4 KOLMOGROV-SINAI ENTROPY

Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy (KS) or metric entropy measure [1] how chaotic a dynamical system is and it is proportional to the rate at which information about the state of dynamical system is lost in the course of time or iteration. Therefore, it can also be defined as the average rate of loss information for a discrete measurable dynamical system $(\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha), \mu)$, by introducing a partition $\alpha = A_c(n_1, \dots, n_\gamma)$ of the interval $[0, 1]$ into individual laps A_i one can define the usual entropy associated with the partition by:

$$H(\mu, \gamma) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n(\gamma)} m(A_c) \ln m(A_c),$$

where $m(A_c) = \int_{n \in A_i} \mu(x) dx$ is the invariant measure of A_i . Defining n-th refining $\gamma(n)$ of γ :

$$\gamma^n = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} (\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha))^{-(k)}(\gamma),$$

and defining an entropy per unit step of refining by :

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha), \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} H(\mu, \gamma^n) \right),$$

if the size of individual laps of $\gamma(N)$ tends to zero as n increases, then the above entropy is known as Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, that is:

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)) = h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha), \gamma).$$

KS-entropy, which is a quantitative measure of the rate of information lost with the refining, may also be written as:

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)) = \int \mu(x) dx \ln \left| \frac{d}{dx} \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha) \right|, \quad (4-1)$$

which is also a statistical mechanical expression for the Lyapunov characteristic exponent, that is, mean divergence rate of two nearby orbits. The measurable dynamical system $(\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha), \mu)$ is chaotic for $h > 0$ and predictive for $h = 0$.

In order to calculate the KS-entropy of the maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$, it is rather convenient to consider their conjugate maps given by (2 – 6), since it can be shown that KS-entropy is a kind of topological invariant, that is, it is preserved under conjugacy map, hence we have:

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)) = h(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\Phi}_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)). \quad (4-2)$$

Using the integral (4 – 1), the KS-entropy of $\Phi_N^{(2)}(\alpha, x)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) &= h(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\Phi}_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\beta} dx}{\sqrt{x}(1 + \beta x)} \ln \left(\left| \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{d}{dx} (\cot^2(N \arctan \sqrt{x})) \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta dx}{\sqrt{x}(1 + \beta x)} \ln \left(\frac{N}{\alpha^2} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}(1 + x)} \frac{\cos N(\arctan \sqrt{x})}{\sin^3 N(\arctan \sqrt{x})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4-3)$$

Using the relations given in (3 – 14) we have

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\beta} dx}{\sqrt{x}(1 + \beta x)} \ln \left(\frac{N}{\alpha^2} \left| \frac{(1 + x)^{N-1} A(-x)}{x^2 (B(-x))^3} \right| \right), \quad (4-4)$$

for even N , and

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\beta} dx}{\sqrt{x}(1 + \beta x)} \ln \left(\frac{N}{\alpha^2} \left| \frac{(1+x)^{N-1} B(-x)}{(A(-x))^3} \right| \right). \quad (4-5)$$

for odd N .

Considering again the relations given in (3 – 14), we see that polynomials appearing in the numerator (denominator) of integrand appearing on the right hand side of equation (4 – 5), have $\frac{[N-1]}{2}$ ($\frac{[N]}{2}$) simple roots, denoted by x_k^B (x_k^A) $k = 1, \dots, [\frac{N-1}{2}]$ ($k = 1, \dots, [\frac{N}{2}]$) in the interval $[0, \infty)$. Hence, we can write the above formula in the following form:

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\beta} dx}{\sqrt{x}(1 + \beta x)} \ln \left(\frac{N}{\alpha^2} \times \frac{(1+x)^{N-1} \prod_{k=1}^{[\frac{N}{2}]} |x - x_k^A|}{x^2 \prod_{k=1}^{[\frac{N-1}{2}]} |x - x_k^B|^3} \right),$$

for even N , and

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\beta} dx}{\sqrt{x}(1 + \beta x)} \ln \left(\frac{N}{\alpha^2} \times \frac{(1+x)^{N-1} \prod_{k=1}^{[\frac{N-1}{2}]} |x - x_k^B|}{\prod_{k=1}^{[\frac{N}{2}]} |x - x_k^A|^3} \right).$$

for odd N .

Now making the following change of variable $x = \frac{1}{\beta} \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$, and taking into account that degree of numerators and denominator are equal for both even and odd values of N , we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\theta \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{N}{\alpha^2} \right) + (N-1) \ln |(\beta+1 + (\beta-1) \cos \theta)| \right. \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{N}{2}]} \ln |1 - x_k^A \beta + (1 + x_k^A \beta) \cos \theta| - 3 \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{N-1}{2}]} \ln |1 - x_k^B \beta + (1 + x_k^B \beta) \cos \theta| \\ &\left. - 2 \ln |1 + \cos \theta| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for even and

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\theta \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{N}{\alpha^2} \right) + (N-1) \ln |(\beta+1 + (\beta-1) \cos \theta)| \right. \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{N-1}{2}]} \ln |1 - x_k^B \beta + (1 + x_k^B \beta) \cos \theta| - 3 \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{N}{2}]} \ln |1 - x_k^A \beta + (1 + x_k^A \beta) \cos \theta| \left. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

for odd N .

Using the following integrals:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \ln |a + b \cos \theta| = \begin{cases} \ln \left| \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}{2} \right| & |a| > |b| \\ \ln \left| \frac{b}{2} \right| & |a| \leq |b|, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$h(\alpha, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) = \begin{cases} \ln \left(\frac{N (\beta + 1 + 2\sqrt{\beta})^{N-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} (1 + x_k^A \beta)}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor} (1 + x_k^B \beta) \right)^3} \right) & \text{for even } N \\ \ln \left(\frac{N (\beta + 1 + 2\sqrt{\beta})^{N-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor} (1 + x_k^B \beta)}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} (1 + x_k^A \beta) \right)^3} \right) & \text{for odd } N, \end{cases}$$

or

$$h(\alpha, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) = \begin{cases} \ln \left(\frac{N (1 + \beta + 2\sqrt{\beta})^{N-1} \beta^2 A(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{(N-1)} \left(B(\frac{1}{\beta}) \right)^3} \right) & \text{for even } N \\ \ln \left(\frac{N (1 + \beta + 2\sqrt{\beta})^{N-1} B(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{(N-1)} \left(A(\frac{1}{\beta}) \right)^3} \right) & \text{for odd } N. \end{cases}$$

Using the relation:

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \beta \frac{A(\frac{1}{\beta})}{B(\frac{1}{\beta})} & \text{for even } N \\ \frac{B(\frac{1}{\beta})}{A(\frac{1}{\beta})} & \text{for odd } N, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)) = \ln \left(\frac{N(1 + \beta + 2\sqrt{\beta})^{N-1}}{(\prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k}^N \beta^k) (\prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2k+1}^N \beta^k)} \right). \quad (4-6)$$

With a calculation rather similar to the one given above we can calculate the KS-entropy of the $\Phi_N^1(x, \alpha)$ maps where the results are the same as those given by (4 – 6).

The KS-entropy (4 – 6) is invariant with respect to $\beta \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{\beta})$, therefore, it has the same asymptotic behavior near $\beta \longrightarrow 0$ and $\beta \longrightarrow \infty$ where its asymptotic forms read

$$\begin{cases} h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha = N + 0^-)) \sim (N - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha = \frac{1}{N} + 0^+)) \sim (\alpha - \frac{1}{N})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases}$$

for odd N and:

$$\begin{cases} h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha = N + 0^-)) \sim (N - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ h(\mu, \Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha = \frac{1}{N} + 0^+)) \sim (\alpha - \frac{1}{N})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases}$$

for even N . The above asymptotic form indicates that the maps, $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ belong to the same universality class which is different from the universality class of pitch fork bifurcating maps but their asymptotic behavior is similar to class of intermittent maps[10, 11], even though intermittency can not occur in these maps for any values of parameter α , since the maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ and their n -composition $\Phi^{(n)}$ do not have minimum values other than zero and maximum values other than one in $[0, 1]$.

5 SIMULATION

Here in this section we try to calculate Lyapunov characteristic exponent of maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$, $N = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ in order to investigate these maps numerically. In fact, Lyapunov characteristic exponent is the characteristic exponent of the rate of average magnificient of the neighborhood of an arbitrary point x_0 and it is denoted by $\Lambda(x_0)$ which is written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{(1,2)}(x_0) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln(| \overbrace{\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha) \circ \Phi_N^{(1,2)} \dots \circ \Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x_K, \alpha)}^n |) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln | \frac{d\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x_k, \alpha)}{dx} |, \end{aligned} \quad (5-1)$$

where $x_k = \overbrace{\Phi_N^{(1,2)} \circ \Phi_N^{(1,2)} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_N^{(1,2)}}^k(x_0)$. It is obvious that $\Lambda^{(1,2)}(x_0) < 0$ for an attractor, $\Lambda^{(1,2)}(x_0) > 0$ for a repeller and $\Lambda^{(1,2)}(x_0) = 0$ for marginal situation. Also the Liapunov number is independent of initial point x_0 , provided that the motion inside the invariant manifold is ergodic, thus $\Lambda^{(1,2)}(x_0)$ characterizes the invariant manifold of $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}$ as a whole. For values of parameter α or β , such that the map $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}$ be measurable, Birkohf ergodic theorem implies equality of KS-entropy and Liapunov characteristic exponent, that is:

$$h(\mu, \Phi_n^{(1,2)}) = \Lambda^{(1,2)}(x_0, \Phi_N^{(1,2)}), \quad (5-2)$$

Comparison of analytically calculated KS-entropy of maps $\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha)$ for $N = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, (see Figures 5, 6 and 7 for $N = 2$ and 3) with the corresponding Lyapunov characteristic exponent obtained by simulation, indicate that in chaotic region, these maps are ergodic as Birkhoff ergodic Theorem predicts. In non chaotic region of parameter Lyapunov characteristic exponent is negative, since in this region we have only stable period one fixed points without bifurcation. In summation, combining the analytic discussion of section II with the numerical simulation we deduce that these maps are ergodic in certain values of their parameter as explained above and in complementary interval of parameter they have only a single period one attractive fixed point, such that in contrary to the most of usual one-dimensional one-parameter family of maps they have only a bifurcation from a period one attractive fixed point to chaotic state or vice versa.

6 Conclusion

We have given hierarchy of exactly solvable one-parameter family of one-dimensional chaotic maps with an invariant measure, that is measurable dynamical system with an interesting property of being either chaotic (proper to say ergodic) or having stable period one fixed point and they bifurcate from a stable single periodic state to chaotic one and vice-versa without having usual period doubling or period-n-tupling scenario.

Perhaps this interesting property is due to existence of invariant measure for a range of values of parameter of these maps. Hence, to approve this conjecture, it would be interesting to find other measurable one parameter maps, specially higher dimensional maps, which is under investigation.

References

- [1] I.P.CORNFELD, S.V.FOMIN AND YA,G.SINAI. *Ergodic Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1982).
- [2] M.V.JAKOBSON. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 81 (1981) 39
- [3] S.TASAKI, Z.SUCHANECKI, I.ANTONIOU. *Physics Letters A*, 179 (1993) 103
- [4] S.TASAKI, T.GILBERT AND J.R.DORFMAN. *Chaos*, 2 (1998) 424
- [5] G.FROYLAND. *Random Computational Dynamics*, 3(4) (1995) 251
- [6] G.FROYLAND. *In proceedings of the 1997 International Symposium on Nonlinear Theory and its Applications, Hawaii, Volume 2(1997) 1129*
- [7] M.BLANK, G.KELLER. *Nonlinearity*, 11 (1998) 1351
- [8] Z.X.WANG, D.R.GUO. *Special Functions*, World Scientific Publishing, (1989).
- [9] ROBERT L.DEVANCY, *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems*, Addison Wesley, (1982).
- [10] POMEAU Y, AND MANNEVILLE P. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 74 (1980) 189
- [11] HIRSCH J E, HUBERMAN B A, AND SCALAPINO D J. *Phys. Rev.*, A25 (1982) 519

Figures Captions

Fig.1. Solid curve shows the variation of KS-entropy $\Phi_2^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$, in terms of the parameter α , dotted curve shows variation of Lyapunov characteristic exponent of $\Phi_2^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$, in terms of the parameter α .

Fig.2. Solid curve shows the variation of KS-entropy $\Phi_2^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$, in terms of the parameter α , dotted curve shows variation of Lyapunov characteristic exponent of $\Phi_2^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$, in terms of the parameter α .

Fig.3. Solid curve shows the variation of KS-entropy $\Phi_3^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$, in terms of the parameter α , dotted curve shows variation of Lyapunov characteristic exponent of $\Phi_3^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$, in terms of the parameter α .

Fig.4. Plot of $\Phi_2^{(2)}$ map for $\alpha = 0.25$ (solid curve) and plot of $\Phi_2^{(2)} \circ \Phi_2^{(2)} \circ \Phi_2^{(2)}$ map for $\alpha = 0.45$, (dashed curve). The values of the maxima and minima (0 or 1) are independent of the parameter α , as shown in the figure.

Fig.5. Bifurcation diagram of $\Phi_2^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$, for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, it is ergodic and for $\alpha \in (2, \infty)$, it has $x = 1$, fixed point.

Fig.6. Bifurcation diagram of $\Phi_2^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$, for $\alpha \in (0.5, \infty)$, it is ergodic and for $\alpha \in (0, .5)$, it has $x = 0$ fixed point.

Fig .7. Bifurcation diagram of $\Phi_3^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$, where for $\alpha \in (1/3, 3)$, it is ergodic and for $\alpha \in (0, 1/3)$, it has stable fixed point at $x = 0$, while for $\alpha \in (N, \infty)$, it has stable fixed point $x = 1$.

This figure "fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0003036v1>

This figure "fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0003036v1>

This figure "fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0003036v1>

This figure "fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0003036v1>

This figure "fig5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0003036v1>

This figure "fig6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0003036v1>

This figure "fig7.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0003036v1>